**ASSESSMENT FORM FOR EXTERNAL EVALUATION OF SCIENTIFIC QUALITY**

 **Please return a signed and scanned copy of the Assessment Form to Research Council Faroe Islands
by e-mail:** **gransking@gransking.fo** **NB. The applicants have a legal right to receive a copy of the peer reviews concerning their own application.** According to Faroese legislation it is important that reviewers are not in any way biased in relation to an application. For further information about legal issues, please read **Instructions for Evaluating Research Proposals.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Reviewer:**  |  |
| **Date and signature: (by signing the reviewer declares himself /herself to be impartial in relation to the application – see Guidelines for Impartiality)** |
| **Host Institution (field 2 in application)** (Please write the name of the Host institution here) |
| **Project Manager / PhD Student (field 3 in application)**(Please write the name of the Project Manager here) |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall assessment**  | **Excellent** | **Very good** | **Good** | **Satisfactory** | **Poor** |
| **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **E** |
| Please mark with X |  |  |  |  |  |

The scientific quality of the proposal should be assessed on a scale of ‘Poor’ to ‘Excellent’ in relation to the criteria set out below. ‘**NR’ means Not Relevant**. The ‘NR’ column should be marked where a criterion is not relevant to the proposal.

**Please place only one X for each criterion and provide a qualitative assessment at the end of each section.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1. Scientific quality of the project** | **Excel-lent**  | **Very good** | **Good** | **Satis-factory** | **Poor** | **NR** |
| Scientific relevance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scientific novelty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential scientific impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem formulation, hypothesis and scientific method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inclusion of relevant scientific disciplines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International collaboration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Qualitative clarification**  |
| **2. Skills of applicant, research group (if relevant) and feasibility of the project** | **Excel-lent**  | **Very good** | **Good** | **Satis-factory** | **Poor** | **NR** |
| Scientific competence of project manager/PhD Student  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial competence of project manager |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined scientific competence of research team |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management plan (timetable, milestones, resources, risks etc.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication and knowledge exchange strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data management plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethics description |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Qualitative clarification**  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Concluding remarks (strengths and weaknesses)** |
|   |

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommended corrections to the project (if relevant)** |
|  |